

Department Faculty Meeting

November 1, 2022

Noon – 1:20

In person: Gould 440

<https://washington.zoom.us/j/97032024504>

Agenda items

12:00 - 12:10	Agenda	Campbell
12:10 - 12:25	Renee Cheng re space	Cheng
12:25 – 1:00	Report from the hiring committee	Purcell
1:00 – 1:20	Open	All

Attendance:

Faculty Present

1. Dan Abramson
2. Christine Bae
3. Rachel Berney
4. Christopher Campbell
5. Manish Chalana
6. Sofia Dermisi
7. Himanshu Grover
8. Mark Purcell
9. Qing Shen
10. Jan Whittington
Dylan Stevenson
Bob Freitag
Marty Curry
Rene Cheng

Staff Present

Edith Olguin
Diana Siembor

Grad Student Present

Approval of minutes

Postpone: Minutes from October 18, 2022 will be presented at the next faculty meeting for approval.

CBE Space Planning Q&A to the Dean (Campbell & Cheng)

- (Whittington) Learn more about the difference between PWT and the Advisory Committee.
Dean's reply:
 1. PWT stands for Project Work team
 2. Project Advisory Committee is an open invitation to be representational.
 3. This project is from September through the end of January.
 4. The CBE adopted the UW methodology of having PWT and a project advisory committee.The project Advisory Committee helps answer questions that people in the community might be having, they are kind of the eyes and ears, being able to see what is happening between different working teams' discussion.
There are multiple working teams, they start off as are needed and the sunset as they are no longer needed. There are three:
 1. Logistics and Scheduling
 2. Sustainability
 3. StandardsThese teams can meet 2, 3 times or once a month and be done. They are the subject experts.
- (Freitag) Comment: Our classrooms need to be more prepared for IT.
Dean's reply: UW technology fee had not been used much since the pandemic, but they are now making a big push for classroom upgrades.
- (Abramson) During the CBE Space Planning workshops, the faculty participation in comparison with staff seemed not representative. Are the outcomes from the CBE Space Planning workshops being considered representative in any numerical way or is this just a qualitative exercise entirely?
Deans' reply: demographics are tracked. Input from students and faculty are captured in other ways. There is a plan for a special 2 hour online session to capture faculty input on November 10, 3:00-5:30. This can be open to just the department or we can mix faculty from different departments.
 - a. We can run 5 faculty workshops, one for each department.
 - b. Or we can have a couple of open sessions that work for most of UDP faculty but also open to faculty from other departments.There will also be a questionnaire. 15-20 minutes to complete. Hoping to see 80% participation.
- (Whittington) Comment: Emphasize the importance of deferred maintenance in the study space because it changes people's perception of what is accessible and there are some categories of deferred maintenance that raise the cost of other improvements.
Dean's reply: There are discussions about how much deferred maintenance is the responsibility of the State of Washington, how much is the responsibility of the University of Washington, or if it is a collective responsibility.
- (Shen) Is it possible to add new construction to Gould Hall?
Dean's reply: This is a question that we will keep open while the Space Planning process is ongoing. But a better option to build a new building or part of a new building would be to partner with others that are interested in the W26 site as opposed to creating our own funding for a building or adding a new story. The terraces represent a good amount of square feet that are not usable right now that could become usable.

- (Campbell) What happens next in this process?
 1. Time to digest workshop information: it has been identified that there are differences in how students, faculty and staff answer the same questions and exercises.
 2. Develop a faculty survey.
 3. Complete the Nov 10 online workshops that will include staff (exclusive for UDP or open to others)
 4. There were student nominations. The department will decide how much it wants to use its student nominee to organize students in UDP department. The student survey is still open.

- The two UDP point persons for the Advisory committee are: Christine Bae and Diana Siembor.

Assistant Teaching Professor Search Committee Report (Purcell)

- The Search Committee, composed of M. Albert (Chair), M. Purcell, and B. Born (E. Olguin is Administrative support), is ready to review applications.
- The Search Committee has created a rubric that they will use to evaluate candidates.
- They will use the rubric to go into the candidates files and evaluate their various materials.
- The Search Committee anticipates presenting a recommendation to the Department Chair in the next couple of weeks.
- Faculty will be given access to the files of the finalist and the candidates will participate in a series of online (zoom) interviews.
- After the interviews, the faculty will meet to deliberate and vote on their recommendation to hire. Faculty can vote yes, no, or abstain. If you don't like any of the candidates you should vote no.
- Our goal is to have the search completed by the end of this quarter with a new hire made in time for winter quarter.
- The initial contract and review process should be the same as tenure track assistant professor position: 3 year initial appointment with review followed by additional contract if approved.

Feedback on rubric:

- Rubric must follow the job description
- Faculty ask the committee to select candidates with some design capabilities. The rubric included words urban planning and design so the rubric should include some feedback on design.

This is a smaller pool than other previous positions but there is a fair number of candidates to select a good candidate. If there is no candidate that meets the department's expectations to fill the position, the position will be closed as 'No hire' and the department will figure out what to do next.